CHROMSYMP. 1421

RAPID ISOLATION OF THYMOSIN β_4 FROM THYMOSIN FRACTION 5 BY PREPARATIVE HIGH-PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY

MAHNAZ BADAMCHIAN*

Department of Biochemistry, The George Washington University, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Washington, DC 20037 (U.S.A.)

M. PATRICIA STRICKLER and M. JUDE STONE

Waters Chromatography Division, Millipore Corporation, Life Science Application Laboratory, Fairfax, VA 22030 (U.S.A.)

and

ALLAN L. GOLDSTEIN

Department of Biochemistry, The George Washington University, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Washington, DC 20037 (U.S.A.)

SUMMARY

We have developed a rapid, efficient, and reproducible two-step method for the purification of thymosin β_4 (T β_4) from thymosin fraction 5 (TF5). This purification is based on the use of high-performance preparative/semi-preparative and analytical reversed-phase (Delta-Pak C₁₈) chromatographic columns. Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and amino acid compositional analysis have shown that natural, purified T β_4 is identical to synthetic T β_4 . This procedure can be used to isolate other biologically active peptides from TF5 in sufficient quantity for characterization.

INTRODUCTION

A partially purified thymosin preparation from calf thymus termed "thymosin fraction 5" (TF5)¹ has been studied extensively for biological activity²⁻⁸, and in clinical trials⁹⁻¹³. TF5 has been shown to modulate several *in vivo* and *in vitro* immune responses^{13,14}. TF5 consists of a family of biologically active polypeptide components with hormone-like activities. Thymosin β_4 (T β_4) is one of several peptides that is present in TF5 and participates in the process of regulation, differentiation, and function of thymus-dependent thymocytes. T β_4 has been isolated from TF5 and completely characterized. T β_4 is composed of 43 amino acid residues and has a molecular weight of 4982 and pI of 5.1. The amino-terminus of the peptide is blocked by an acetyl group¹⁴. T β_4 has been purified from TF5 by conventional column techniques for large-scale purification using ion-exchange chromatography on a carboxymethyl-cellulose column, followed by gel chromatography on Sephadex G-50 in 6 *M* guanidinium chloride. The desalted T β_4 on Sephadex G-10 had a yield of 0.45%¹⁵. T β_4 has also been isolated by high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC)

techniques for analytical purposes, using a μ Bondapak C₁₈ column¹⁶ with a recovery of 2–3%. Low recoveries have always been a problem in the isolation of TF5 peptides and have limited the amount of purified peptide available for further characterization and comparison of the different forms of the biologically active peptides. In the present study, we report a very fast, reproducible, and efficient large-scale isolation procedure as well as an analytical reversed-phase HPLC (RP-HPLC) procedure for the purification of T β_4 from TF5.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Clinical-grade TF5 (Lot C114080-02) and synthetic T β_4 were provided as a gift from Alpha One Biomedicals (Washington, DC, U.S.A.). Delta-Pak C₁₈ columns and chemicals for the Pico-Tag system were from Waters Chromatography Division of Millipore (Milford, MA, U.S.A.). Water for HPLC was purified with a Milli-Q water system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, U.S.A.) sodium dodecylsulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) chemicals were of molecular-biology grade and were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.). The SDS low-molecularweight protein standards and silver-stain kit were purchased from Bio-Rad Lab. (Richmond, CA, U.S.A.). All buffers and solvents were of HPLC grade (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, U.S.A.). All HPLC solutions were filtered through a 0.45- μ m membrane (Millipore) prior to use.

HPLC Methods

Optimization of reversed-phase chromatographic conditions for the fractionation of TF5 was carried out on a Model 600 HPLC system (Waters), equipped with a Model 490 multi-wavelength detector set at 280 nm and a 300 mm \times 3.9 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300-Å, 15- μ m, C₁₈ column (Waters). Eluent A was 0.02 *M* ammonium acetate, (pH 6.8); eluent B was acetonitrile. A 60-min linear gradient from 0 to 80% B was run at a flow-rate of 0.5 ml/min. The sample was 900 μ g of TF5, dissolved in the initial buffer.

Preparative-scale reversed-phase chromatography of TF5 (1.5 g) was performed on a Delta-Prep HPLC system (Waters), equipped with a Model 481 variablewavelength detector with a semi-preparative flow-cell, set at 280 nm, and a 300 mm \times 50 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300 Å, 15- μ m C₁₈ column (Waters). Eluent A was 0.02 *M* ammonium acetate (pH 6.8), and eluent B was acetonitrile. A 60-min linear gradient from 0 to 80% B was run at a flow-rate of 80 ml/min. TF5 was dissolved in eluent A and applied to the column through a port in the solvent delivery system. Fractions of 1 min were collected, and a 1-ml aliquot was used for radioimmunoassay (RIA).

Semi-preparative reversed-phase HPLC of an aliquot of a $T\beta_4$ -active fraction No. 20 (5.6 mg) from the preparative separation, was carried out on a Model 600 HPLC system 1 equipped with a Model 490 multi-wavelength detector, set at 214 nm, and a 150 mm × 3.9 mm Delta-Pak, 300 Å, 5- μ m C₁₈ column (Waters). Eluent A was 0.1% phosphoric acid in water, and eluent B was acetonitrile containing 0.1% phosphoric acid. Separation of T β_4 was achieved with a 10-min linear gradient from 0 to 14% B, followed by a 10-min hold at 14% B and a 10-min linear gradient to 18% B at a flow-rate of 1 ml/min. Fractions of 1 min were collected and assayed by RIA for $T\beta_4$. The peak of immunoreactive $T\beta_4$ (fraction 33, 100 µl) was diluted with 100 µl of water and rechromatographed under the same conditions. One half minute fractions were collected. Fractions 33 and 33.5, collected from this separation were pooled, reduced to a volume of 250 µl, diluted with 250 µl of water, and rechromatographed similarly. The peak was collected and subjected to amino acid analysis and SDS-PAGE.

A crude mixture, containing synthetic $T\beta_4$ (50 µg) was also chromatographed on the 5-µm Delta-Pak C₁₈ column under the conditions described above. The major peak (33.5 min) was identified as $T\beta_4$ by RIA. Data from all the chromatographic procedures was collected with a Model 840 chromatography workstation (Waters).

Gel electrophoresis

SDS-PAGE was performed according to the method of Laemmli¹⁷. T β_4 samples were incubated at 90°C for 5 min before gel electrophoresis. Proteins were visualized by silver staining with the Bio-Rad silver-stain kit.

Amino acid analysis

This procedure was performed with the Pico-Tag amino acid analysis system of Waters-Millipore. The method is based on the formation of a phenylthiocarbamyl (PTC) derivative of the amino acids from acid-hydrolyzed proteins. The T β_4 samples (about 5–10 μ g) were hydrolyzed in 200 μ l of a constant-boiling hydrochloric acid atmosphere, containing 1% (v/v) phenol, at 110°C for 48 h in the Pico-Tag work station. The hydrolysates were dried and the amino acids were derivatized with phenylisothiocyanate (PITC) for 20 min at room temperature to yield the corresponding PTC derivatives¹⁸. These derivatives were analyzed with the Pico-Tag amino acid analysis system, which had been previously calibrated with a standard mixture of amino acids.

Protein determinations

Protein was estimated by the method of Lowry *et al.*¹⁹ with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard in the case of crude preparations, and by amino acid analysis in the case of highly purified samples.

Radioimmunoassay

The RIA for $T\beta_4$ was performed according to the method of Naylor *et al.*²⁰. Fractions collected from the HPLC separation were lyophilized and resuspended in 1 ml HPLC-grade water, and aliquots of these fractions were used for the RIA. RIA buffer (0.01 *M* sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4.), containing 0.165 *M* sodium chloride, 0.05% sodium azide, 0.01 m*M* EDTA, and 0.1% BSA was added to each tube to bring the volume to 400 μ l. A 50- μ l aliquot of stock antiserum and 50 μ l of tracerlabeled tyrosine (Tyr¹–C13–T β_4) were added to each tube. The tubes were mixed in a vortex mixer and incubated for 24 h at 4°C. Separation of free from bound tracer was carried out by the addition of 50 μ l of goat anti-rabbit IgG in 0.5 *M* phosphate buffer solution. After mixing, the tubes were incubated overnight at 4°C. The supernatants were aspirated and discarded, and the radioactivity in the immunoprecipitates was measured in an automatic Beckman Gamma 4000 spectrometer (Columbia, MD, U.S.A.).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The primary goal of our ongoing thymosin research program is to develop a rapid and efficient method for the isolation of the TF5 peptides. The isolated peptides will then be further characterized and their individual biological functions will be determined. In the present study, separation of thymic peptides in TF5 was carried out by RP-HPLC on the Delta-Pak columns.

Fig. 1 shows an analytical RP-HPLC separation of TF5. TF5 (900 μ g) was fractionated on a 300 mm × 3.9 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300-Å, 15- μ m C₁₈ column under the conditions described in *HPLC methods*. Under these conditions many peptides were eluted as distinct peaks in the first half of the chromatogram, whereas a broad heteregeneous peak was eluted in the second half of the chromatogram. We were unable to improve the resolution of the 30-60 min region of TF5 by using different chromatographic conditions and HPLC columns, *e.g.*, ion-exchange, reversed-phase, size exclusion, chromatography, etc. (results are not shown). However, the fractionation of TF5 on the Delta-Pak, 300-Å, 15- μ m C₁₈ column generated the greatest number of peptide peaks compared to all other HPLC columns we have tried. Therefore, the Delta-Pak C₁₈ column was selected as the initial step for the fractionation of TF5 peptides.

Preparative scale-up isolation of $T\beta_4$ from TF5 was achieved with a 300 mm × 50 mm I.D. preparative Delta-Pak 300-Å, 15- μ m C₁₈ column (Fig. 2). This was the initial step used for the fractionation of all the peptides in TF5. Isolation of T β_4 from this preparative chromatogram can be used as an example of the further isolation of new TF5 peptides. The RIA analysis of the fractionated TF5, as shown in Fig. 2,



Fig. 1. RP-HPLC separation of 900 μ g of TF5 on a 300 mm × 3.9 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300 Å. 15- μ m, C₁₈ column. Eluent A was 0.02 *M* ammonium acetate, (pH 6.8), and eluent B was acetonitrile. A 60-min linear gradient from 0 to 80% B was run at a flow-rate of 0.5 ml/min. Detection, 280 nm, 1.4 a.u.f.s.



Fig. 2. RP-HPLC separation of 1.5 g of TF5 on a 300 mm \times 50 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300-Å, 15- μ m, C₁₈ column. Eluent A was 0.02 *M* ammonium acetate, (pH 6.8) and eluent B was acetonitrile. A 60-min linear gradient from 0 to 80% B was run at a flow-rate of 80 ml/min. Detection, 280 nm at 1.5 a.u.f.s. Fractions were assayed for T β_A by RIA. Results are superimposed on the chromatogram.

indicated that immunoreactive $T\beta_4$ was eluted between 18 and 21 min. Fraction 20 (F20) was used for further purification.

Optimal separation conditions for the F20 peptides were determined with a 150 mm \times 3.9 mm I.D. analytical Delta-Pak, 300-Å, 5- μ m, C₁₈ column (Fig. 3). Our results indicated that the major peptide peak from the chromatographic separation of F20 was T β_4 (peak 1). When synthetic T β_4 was chromatographic under the same conditions, the major T β_4 peak had a retention time identical to that of peak 1 (results not shown). This chromatographic step can also be used for the identification and isolation of T β_4 for analytical purposes.

F20 (5.6 mg) from the preparative separation (Fig. 2) was further fractionated on a 150 mm × 3.9 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300 Å 5- μ m, C₁₈ column under the conditions described previously in *HPLC methods*. As shown in Fig. 4, the scale-up isolation of T β_4 from F20 did not lead to appreciable loss in resolution. The RIA analysis of the fractions indicated that the major peptide peak at a retention time of 33 min was T β_4 . Rechromatography of immunoreactive fractions 32–34 under similar conditions revealed a single major peptide peak for fraction 33, (Fig. 5), and the presence of several minor contaminants. The other fractions (not shown) contained much less T β_4 . Fraction 34 was contaminated by the shouldering peak shown on peak 1 in Figs. 3 and 4. Therefore, fractions 33 and 33.5 (from the rechromatography of fraction 33) were pooled, rechromatographed and used as the final T β_4 preparation for SDS-PAGE and amino acid composition analysis.

An estimate of the homogeneity of the isolated $T\beta_4$ was obtained by RP-HPLC. As shown in Fig. 6, the isolated $T\beta_4$ from TF5 (natural $T\beta_4$) gave a homogeneous



Minutes

Fig. 3. RP-HPLC separation of 130 μ g of fraction 20 from the 1.5 g separation of TF5 on a 150 mm × 3.9 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300-Å, 5- μ m, C₁₈ column. Eluent A was 0.1% phosphoric acid and eluent B was acetonitrile containing 0.1% phosphoric acid. Separation of T β_4 was achieved by a 10-min linear gradient to 14% B, followed by a 10-min hold at 14% B and a 10 min-gradient to 18% B. The flow-rate was 1 ml/min. Detection at 214 nm, 0.1 a.u.f.s.



Fig. 4. RP-HPLC separation of 5.6 mg of fraction 20 from the 1.5 g separation of TF5 on a 150 mm \times 3.9 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300-Å, 5- μ m, C₁₈ column, under the conditions described in Fig. 3. Detection, 214 nm, 1 a.u.f.s. Collected fractions were assayed for T β_4 by RIA. Results are superimposed on the chromatogram.



Minutes

Fig. 5. Rechromatography of 100 μ l of fraction 33 from the preparative separation of fraction 20. The sample was diluted with 100 μ l of water and injected into the 150 mm × 3.9 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300-Å, 5- μ m, C₁₈ column, under the conditions described in Fig. 3. Detection, 214 nm, 0.5 a.u.f.s.



Fig. 6. Rechromatography of fractions 33 and 33.5 (from the purification of fraction 33). The sample was concentrated to 250 μ l, diluted with water, and injected into the 150 mm × 3.9 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300-Å, 5- μ m, C₁₈ column, under the conditions described in Fig. 3. Detection, 214 nm, 0.5 a.u.f.s.

peak. Fig. 7 shows the comparison of the purified natural $T\beta_4$ by the two-step RP-HPLC procedure and the crude synthetic $T\beta_4$. Results indicate that natural and synthetic $T\beta_4$ have identical retention times.

SDS-PAGE analysis of the natural and HPLC purified synthetic $T\beta_4$, illustrated in Fig. 8, was carried out as described in *HPLC methods*. When the protein bands on SDS gels were initially stained with Coomassie Blue R-250, only a very faint band of $T\beta_4$ was evident. When the gel was then processed with the more sensitive silver stain, a single identical protein band with a low molecular weight was evident for both natural and synthetic $T\beta_4$ samples. Gel electrophoresis of $T\beta_4$ has in the past always been done by isoelectric focusing (IEF) using relatively huge amounts of $T\beta_4$ (200 μ g). In addition, the $T\beta_4$ band on IEF gels was only visible during the fixing step of the gel by using 20% trichloroacetic acid, and it disappeared during subsequent staining and destaining procedures¹⁰. The SDS-PAGE and staining procedure used for the $T\beta_4$ peptide has two advantages over the previously reported IEF method: (1) it requires 10 times less protein and (2) the stained $T\beta_4$ band is intense and stable.

Amino acid analysis of the purified synthetic and natural $T\beta_4$ are shown in Tabel I. Our results indicate that the synthetic and purified natural $T\beta_4$ have the identical amino acid composition.

In summary, we were able to purify $T\beta_4$ from TF5 in a two-step RP-HPLC procedure. The presence of $T\beta_4$ was followed by RIA and HPLC retention time. In the first step of the purification the fractions containing $T\beta_4$ (18–21) were identified in the RIA. In the second step only F20 was selected for further purification. Fractions



Fig. 7. Comparison of 50 μ g of crude synthetic T β_4 and T β_4 isolated by the two-step RP-HPLC procedure on the 150 mm × 3.9 mm I.D. Delta-Pak, 300-Å, 5- μ m column with the gradient described previously (Fig. 3). The synthetic T β_4 was identified by RIA, and the results are superimposed on the chromatogram.

TABLE I

AMINO ACID COMPOSITION OF NATURAL AND SYNTHETIC THYMOSIN β_A

Amino acid analysis was performed with a Pico-Tag amino acid analysis system. About 10-µg samples of synthetic and isolated $T\beta_4$ were hydrolyzed with 6 *M* hydrochloric acid, containing 1% (v/v) phenol at 110°C for 48 h. The hydrolysates were dried and used for amino acid analysis by the Pico-Tag standard procedure¹⁸. The data are presented as assumed numbers of residues per molecule.

Amino acid	Synthetic $T\beta_4$	Natural $T\beta_4$	From reported sequence*	
Asp	3.9	3.8	4	
Glu	10.8	10.6	11	
Ser	3.5	3.8	4	
Gly	1.1	1.2	1	
Thr	2.8	3.2	3	
Ala	2.2	1.8	2	
Pro	2.9	2.9	3	
Met	0.8	0.7	1	
Ile	1.6	1.9	2	
Leu	1.6	2.2	2	
Phe	0.9	0.8	1	
Lys	8.5	8.6	9	

* Number of residues obtained from the reported sequence result¹⁴.



Fig. 8. SDS-PAGE of synthetic and isolated $T\beta_4$. About 10–20 μ g of $T\beta_4$ samples were electrophoresed on a 1.5-mm 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel according to the method of Laemmli¹⁷ and stained with silver stain. Lanes 1 and 2, synthetic and natural $T\beta_4$, respectively. On the left are standard proteins. K = Kilodalton.

32–34 from F20 were immunoreactive. However, only the peak of immunoreactivity, (fraction 33), was used for further purification and analysis. From fraction 33 we obtained 5.1 mg of T β_4 which correlates well with 5.4 mg calculated by RIA. The mass recovery of T β_4 from F20 was greater than 80% as calculated by the peak area of T β_4 compared to the peak area of an HPLC purified synthetic β_4 standard. More T β_4 could be obtained from the other immunoreactive fractions (18, 19 and 21) from the preparative separation of TF5. Our goal, however, was to develop a simple procedure for the isolation of biologically active peptides from TF5 in sufficient quantity for characterization. The isolation of T β_4 is presented as an example.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported in part by a grant CA24974 from National Cancer Institute and by a gift from Alpha 1 Biomedicals.

REFERENCES

- 1 J. A. Hooper, M. C. McDaniel, G. B. Thurman, G. H. Cohen, R. S. Schulof and A. L. Goldstein, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 249 (1975) 125.
- 2 G. B. Thurman, A. Ahmed, D. M. Strong, M. E. Gershuim, A. D. Steinberg and A. L. Goldstein, Transplant. Proc., 7 (1975) 299.
- 3 J. F. Back, M. Dardenne, A. L. Goldstein, A. Guha and A. White, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 68 (1971) 2734.
- 4 M. J. Dauphinee, N. Talal, A. L. Goldstein and A. White, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 71 (1974) 2637.
- 5 M. Zisblatt, A. L. Goldstein, F. Lilly and A. White, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 66 (1970) 1170.
- 6 M. A. Hardy, M. Zisblatt, N. Levine, A. L. Goldstein, F. Lilly and A. White, A. Transplant. Proc., 3 (1971) 926.
- 7 B. A. Khaw and A. H. Rule, Br. J. Cancer, 28 (1973) 288.
- 8 M. A. Scheinberg, A. L. Goldstein and E. S. Cathcart, J. Immunol., 116 (1976) 156.
- 9 D. W. Ward, A. L. Goldstein, W. Doyle and A. Ammann, N. Engl. J. Med., 292 (1955) 70.
- 10 A. L. Goldstein, G. H. Cohen, J. L. Rossio, G. B. Thurman, J. T. Ulrich and C. N. Brown, Med. Clin. North Am., 60 (1976) 591.
- 11 L. A. Schafer, A. L. Goldstein, J. U. Gutterman and E. M. Hersh, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 277 (1976) 607.
- 12 L. A. Schafer, J. U. Gutterman, E. M. Hersh, G. M. Mavligit and A. L. Goldstein, in S. O. Chirigos and A. Michael (Editors), *Progress in Cancer Research and Therapy*, Vol. 2, Raven Press, New York, 1977, p. 329.
- 13 A. L. Goldstein, T. L. K. Low, G. B. Thurman, M. M. Zatz, N. Hall, J. Chen, S. K. Hu, P. B. Naylor and J. E. McClure, *Recent Prog. Horm. Res.*, 37 (1981) 369.
- 14 T. L. K. Low, S. K. Hu and A. L. Goldstein, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 78 (1981) 1166.
- 15 T. L. K. Low and A. L. Goldstein, J. Biol. Chem., 257 (1982) 1000.
- 16 T. L. K. Low and R. C. Mercer, J. Chromatogr., 301 (1984) 221.
- 17 U. K. Laemmli, Nature (London), 227 (1970) 680.
- 18 B. A. Bidlingmeyer, S. A. Cohen and S. L. Tarvin, J. Chromatogr., 336 (1984) 93.
- 19 O. H. Lowry, N. J. Rosebrough, A. L. Farr and R. J. Randall, J. Neurochem., 12 (1985) 253.
- 20 P. H. Naylor, J. E. McClure, B. L. Spangelo, T. L. K. Low and A. L. Goldstein, *Immunopharmacology*, 7 (1984) 9.